

Article

CULTURAL SUSTAINABILITY AND CIVIC SOCIETY: THE ROLE OF UKRAINIAN CIVIL INITIATIVES IN SHAPING EUROPEAN IDENTITY

Sostenibilidad cultural y sociedad cívica: el papel de las iniciativas civiles ucranianas en la configuración de la identidad europea

NATALIIA FILIPOVA & TETIANA KLYMENKO¹

Abstract: This article analyzes the multifaceted role of civil society in Ukraine's European integration, emphasizing its innovative and cultural potential. It explores the interconnection between the development of civil society institutions, the consolidation of European values, and the emergence of a new model of civic engagement that combines social responsibility, creativity, and technological innovation. Civil society is conceptualized as a dynamic system generating synergy between public institutions, business, and citizens. The chapter reviews current trends in the development of NGOs and social enterprises, highlighting their role in advancing digital solutions, open data, the green transition, and cultural innovation. Drawing on CSO Meter Ukraine (2024) and EU studies, it presents data on Ukrainian civic organizations' participation in European projects and identifies ongoing institutional challenges.

Particular attention is given to civic initiatives promoting human rights, equality, tolerance, and social cohesion, demonstrating civil society's function as a driver of democratic alignment with European standards. The cultural dimension is examined through cultural diplomacy and creative industries, including EU-supported partnership programs. The chapter concludes that civil society acts as a catalyst for innovation, democratic resilience, and the strengthening of Ukraine's European identity.

Keywords: Civil society, European integration, Ukraine, Social innovations, Cultural diplomacy, creative industries, Social cohesión, European values.

Resumen: Este artículo analiza el papel multifacético de la sociedad civil en la integración europea de Ucrania, haciendo énfasis en su potencial innovador y cultural. Explora la interconexión entre el desarrollo de las instituciones de la sociedad civil, la consolidación de los valores europeos y la aparición de un nuevo modelo de participación cívica que combina responsabilidad social, creatividad e innovación tecnológica. La sociedad civil se conceptualiza como un sistema dinámico que genera sinergia entre las instituciones públicas, el sector empresarial y la ciudadanía. El capítulo revisa las tendencias actuales en el desarrollo de las ONG y las empresas sociales, destacando su papel en el impulso de soluciones digitales, los datos abiertos, la transición ecológica y la innovación cultural. Basándose en CSO Meter Ukraine (2024) y en estudios de la UE, presenta datos sobre la participación de las organizaciones cívicas ucranianas en proyectos europeos e identifica los desafíos institucionales existentes.

Se presta especial atención a las iniciativas cívicas que promueven los derechos humanos, la igualdad, la tolerancia y la cohesión social, demostrando la función de la sociedad civil como motor de la alineación democrática con los estándares europeos. La dimensión cultural se examina a través de la

¹ HEI «University of Future Transformation». Main contact: nataliia-@ukr.net



diplomacia cultural y las industrias creativas, incluyendo programas de cooperación respaldados por la UE. El capítulo concluye que la sociedad civil actúa como un catalizador de la innovación, la resiliencia democrática y el fortalecimiento de la identidad europea de Ucrania.

Palabras clave: Sociedad civil, Integración europea, Ucrania, Innovaciones sociales, Diplomacia cultural, Industrias creativas, Cohesión social, Valores europeos.

1. Civil Society as a Driver of Ukraine's European Integration.

Ukraine's chosen path toward European integration represents not only a strategic vector of its foreign policy, but also a comprehensive and transformative domestic challenge that encompasses political, economic, social, cultural, and institutional dimensions of public life. It demonstrates that European integration cannot be reduced to formal agreements or regulatory harmonization; rather, it is inseparable from deep democratic transformations, the consolidation of the rule of law, the effective protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms, the strengthening of accountable governance, and the development of an open and participatory society. In this sense, European integration becomes both a geopolitical orientation and an internal civilizational choice.

Ukraine is gradually consolidating itself as a state in which civil society plays a decisive and structuring role in the development of democratic institutions. It is an active and self-aware community of citizens united by shared values, collective responsibility, and a commitment not merely to observe political processes, but to participate directly in decision-making, policy dialogue, and reform implementation. This role of civil society is historically grounded, reflecting the centuries-long struggle of the Ukrainian nation for independence, sovereignty, dignity, and the preservation of its democratic trajectory. Civic mobilization, solidarity, and public self-organization have repeatedly demonstrated their capacity to influence national development and defend constitutional order.

Within this context, civil society serves as a catalyst for reforms, an intermediary between public authorities and citizens, an indicator of social expectations, and a mechanism of oversight ensuring transparency and accountability of state institutions. The development of non-governmental organizations, volunteer movements, professional associations, grassroots initiatives, and independent media has significantly enhanced political culture, legal awareness, and civic responsibility. Through these mechanisms, society contributes to embedding the principles of democracy, tolerance, equality, solidarity, and pluralism—values that constitute the normative foundation of the European Union.

Importantly, European progress cannot be achieved exclusively through diplomatic negotiations or governmental initiatives. It requires the genuine, continuous, and conscious participation of citizens in public consultations, elections, reform discussions, civic monitoring,

and transparency mechanisms. Societal readiness for dialogue, cooperation, and shared responsibility determines both the pace and the sustainability of integration processes. European integration, therefore, is not merely institutional approximation to specific standards; it is also a profound internal re-evaluation of the role of the individual, the community, and the state within a unified system of European democratic values.

Consequently, democratic transformation, the strengthening of civil society institutions, and European integration constitute three interdependent and mutually reinforcing components of a single historical process. The success of Ukraine's ability to translate declared political commitments into tangible institutional and social realities depends fundamentally on the activity, responsibility, critical thinking, and civic consciousness of its citizens.

2. Theoretical foundations of the Civil Society.

The concept of "civil society" may reasonably be equated with that of an "aware" or "conscious society," as only informed, competent, and responsible citizens are capable of assuming responsibility for the common good, exercising their rights and freedoms in a meaningful way, and initiating or joining civic organizations aimed at defending public interests and improving governance processes. Civil society, therefore, is not merely a formal network of associations, but a value-based environment in which citizens understand their role within the state and recognize their capacity to influence social development. Continuous dialogue, institutionalized cooperation, and mutual trust between public authorities and the wider community constitute an essential precondition for sustainable democratic development and successful European integration. Without such interaction, reforms risk remaining declarative rather than transformative.

The quality of life within a state directly depends on the contribution of each individual citizen, since personal initiative, responsibility, and social engagement collectively generate public well-being. Democracy is not self-sustaining; it requires active participation and civic maturity. Every conscious citizen represents a vital structural element in the construction of a democratic system, without which genuine democracy cannot function effectively. In this regard, society may be understood as a complex and dynamic social system in which each individual performs a critically important functional role. The stability, adaptability, and harmonious operation of this system—both at the national level and within local communities—depend on the extent to which citizens are empowered, informed, and engaged in public life.

Civic-mindedness serves as a connecting link between the individual and the social institutions—educational, cultural, political, and legal—that shape worldview, identity, and

patterns of social behaviour. As an indispensable component of civil society, it ensures coherence between personal convictions and shared societal values, thereby forming the foundation for conscious participation in governance, community initiatives, and public oversight. Such active and responsible engagement becomes possible only when the state reliably guarantees and protects fundamental rights and freedoms, creating a secure legal framework within which citizens may act freely and confidently.

The legal foundations for the realization of civil rights and freedoms are firmly enshrined in the Constitution of Ukraine. Article 34 guarantees everyone the right to freedom of thought and speech, as well as the free expression of views and beliefs, thereby safeguarding pluralism and open public discourse. Article 36 establishes the right of citizens to form political parties and civic organizations for the purpose of exercising and protecting their rights and freedoms and satisfying political, economic, social, and cultural interests, subject only to lawful restrictions necessary for national security, public order, or the protection of the rights of others. These constitutional guarantees provide the normative basis upon which a conscious and active civil society can develop and contribute to democratic governance and European integration (Constitution of Ukraine, 1996).

2.1. European Integration and Civic Engagement.

The multi-dimensional analysis of the impact of civil society on Ukraine's European integration processes, as well as its innovative and cultural development, is presented in Table

Table 1.

Analysis of the Multifaceted Influence of Civil Society on Ukraine's European Integration, Innovation, and Cultural Development

Aspect of Analysis	Key Ideas	Examples	Conclusions
1. The Essence of Civil Society in the Context of European Integration	Civil society refers to a set of non-governmental, non-profit organizations and initiatives independent from the state that promote conscious citizen participation, transparency, and governmental accountability.	In Ukraine, there are approximately 20,000 active civil society organizations (CSOs/NGOs). Trust in NGOs is increasing, yet fewer than 20% of Ukrainians are members of or active participants in NGOs.	Despite a relatively large number of CSOs, the level of direct citizen engagement remains lower than desired, which indicates the need for strengthening participation.
2. The Role in Promoting European Values	Civil society contributes to fostering a culture of human rights, tolerance, and social responsibility - fundamental conditions for integration into the European Union.	According to NGO reports, about 65% of CSOs possess basic knowledge of EU functioning, while only around 11% demonstrate deep expertise in EU legislation.	Active involvement of civil society in promoting EU values is essential; however, systematic improvement of CSOs' expertise in European standards is required.

3. Civil Society as a Driver of Innovation	NGOs, civic initiatives, and social enterprises generate innovation in the social sphere: digital services, open data policies, the green transition, and cultural projects.	In 2024, the CSO Meter Ukraine 2024 study was published, providing up-to-date data on the state of civil society in Ukraine.	Civil society has significant potential to act as a catalyst for innovation and modernization, yet requires stronger financial and institutional support to scale its activities.
4. Cultural Diplomacy and Creative Industries	Through civic initiatives and NGOs, projects in intercultural exchange, arts, and creative industries are implemented, strengthening Ukraine's cultural presence and positive image internationally.	For example, support from the European Union External Action Service - funding NGOs to strengthen civil society (EUR 25 million).	Cultural components serve as an important soft-power resource of European integration. Cultural initiatives strengthen international partnerships and domestic cohesion.
5. Social Capital and Cooperation with Public Authorities	NGOs act as intermediaries between public authorities, business, and citizens, carrying out consultations, civic councils, and public oversight.	According to the EU-Ukraine Association Agreement implementation report, as of 2025 implementation reached nearly 81% (by some assessments).	Effective cooperation between civil society and the state accelerates structural reforms and strengthens public trust, which is critical for European integration.
6. The Impact of War and Recovery on Civil Society	Russia's full-scale aggression intensified volunteer movements, reconstruction initiatives, and international partnerships - civil society became a driver of resilience and recovery.	More than 71% of Ukrainians have participated in volunteer activities since 2022 (according to media reports).	War and recovery created conditions for the rapid growth of civil society's role, shifting the focus toward innovative reconstruction, humanitarian support, and social cohesion.
7. Development Challenges	Limited institutional funding, volunteer burnout, political pressure, low youth participation, and regional disparities in CSO development.	The EU Roadmap for Engagement with Civil Society in Ukraine notes administrative barriers that hinder CSO access to state funding despite favorable legislation.	Systematic strengthening, institutional support, and stable funding are required to enhance civil society's role in EU integration.
8. Recommendations for Strengthening the Role of Civil Society	Development of a state - business-CSO tripartite partnership; strengthening civic and EU-integration education; support for youth initiatives and social start-ups; promotion of cultural and innovative CSO projects.	EU member states such as Poland, Lithuania, and the Czech Republic may serve as benchmarks for institutional reforms.	Synergy between the state, business, and civil society is a strategic resource for innovative and cultural development and a key foundation for successful European integration.

Source: compiled on the basis of (European Union, 2024; Huk & Zeynalov, 2022; Krasnenko, 2025; European External Action Service; Ukrainian Center for Independent Political Research, 2025)

The presented table provides a structured multi-level analysis of the role of civil society in Ukraine's European integration, innovative development, and cultural transformation. It organizes the discussion across eight interrelated dimensions, combining conceptual foundations, empirical data, and strategic conclusions.

The first block defines the essence of civil society as an independent sphere of non-governmental and non-profit organizations that promote citizen participation, transparency, and accountability. Although Ukraine has approximately 20,000 active CSOs and growing public trust, the relatively low percentage of citizens directly engaged in NGOs indicates the need to deepen participatory culture.

The second aspect highlights civil society's contribution to promoting European values—human rights, tolerance, and social responsibility. While many CSOs possess general knowledge of EU functioning, limited deep expertise in EU legislation underscores the necessity of professional capacity-building.

The third dimension presents civil society as a driver of innovation through digital services, open data initiatives, green transition projects, and social entrepreneurship. However, stronger financial and institutional support is required to scale these efforts. The fourth block emphasizes cultural diplomacy and creative industries as instruments of soft power, strengthening Ukraine's international image and partnerships. The fifth dimension examines cooperation between civil society and public authorities, showing that effective interaction accelerates reforms and reinforces public trust. The sixth aspect demonstrates how war and recovery have intensified civic mobilization, transforming civil society into a key actor of resilience and reconstruction. The seventh section identifies structural challenges—funding instability, volunteer burnout, and administrative barriers.

Finally, the eighth block outlines strategic recommendations, stressing that synergy between the state, business, and civil society is a decisive resource for sustainable European integration.

Thus, civil society represents a coherent and internally interconnected system within which effective legal mechanisms for the protection of human rights operate, and where citizens are conscious not only of the scope of their rights but also of their duties and responsibility for their own actions and decisions. It is a social environment in which legal norms, democratic procedures, and civic values interact to ensure the balance between individual autonomy and collective interests. In such a system, respect for the law is combined with active civic participation, and public accountability becomes a shared principle guiding both institutions and individuals. Freedom, in this context, possesses a dual

nature, as it integrates both individual and social dimensions. On the one hand, freedom enables the individual to develop personal convictions, express opinions, pursue self-realization, and exercise independent choice. On the other hand, freedom entails participation in public life, engagement in collective decision-making, and the ability to influence social, political, and cultural processes. This duality reflects the intrinsic connection between personal autonomy and social responsibility, demonstrating that genuine freedom cannot exist in isolation from the community. The practical realization of this understanding of freedom occurs through the institutions of civil society—educational establishments, cultural organizations, legal associations, professional communities, and independent media. These institutions provide platforms for dialogue, critical thinking, advocacy, and public oversight, thereby transforming abstract constitutional principles into lived social practices. Through their activities, freedom becomes institutionalized: it acquires concrete forms, procedures, and guarantees that ensure its protection and sustainability. In this way, civil society not only safeguards freedom as a fundamental democratic value but also cultivates the social conditions necessary for its continuous development and meaningful exercise (Vasyliiev et al., 2013).

3. International Comparative Perspective: Civil Society and European Integration.

A comparative perspective allows for a deeper understanding of the specific features of Ukraine's civil society development within the broader European context. The experiences of Poland, Lithuania, and the Czech Republic demonstrate that civil society plays a structurally significant role in European integration processes, yet the trajectories, institutional conditions, and socio-political contexts differ substantially. These comparisons provide valuable analytical benchmarks for assessing Ukraine's current transformation.

Poland: Civil Society as a Pre-Accession Democratization Catalyst. Poland's experience illustrates how civil society can function as a foundational democratizing force prior to EU accession. Following the political transition of 1989, Polish civil society evolved as a critical arena for institutional pluralism, associational life, and participatory governance. Studies emphasize that civil organizations, local associations, and independent initiatives contributed to embedding democratic norms and public accountability in the pre-accession period (Gliński, 2011).

Importantly, the Polish case demonstrates the interrelation between social capital and institutional reform. Civic associations were instrumental in legitimizing structural reforms and mobilizing societal support for integration into European institutions. This dynamic

corresponds with broader theoretical interpretations of social capital as a driver of democratic consolidation and institutional trust (Putnam, 2018).

In comparison, Ukraine's civil society development shares certain similarities, particularly in its mobilizational capacity during periods of political transformation. However, Ukraine's trajectory differs in that civil society expansion has occurred under conditions of ongoing geopolitical pressure and full-scale war. While Poland's transformation unfolded in a relatively stable post-authoritarian environment, Ukraine's civil society simultaneously performs reformist, humanitarian, and resilience-building functions (Bilan & Bilan, 2011; Krasnenko et al., 2025).

Lithuania: Institutionalization of Participation and Open Governance. Lithuania offers an example of how institutional mechanisms can formalize civic participation within democratic governance frameworks. The Lithuanian model emphasizes open government initiatives, participatory policymaking, and digital transparency instruments that strengthen the interaction between civil society and public authorities (OECD, 2015).

Participation in international frameworks such as the Open Government Partnership facilitated the development of consultation procedures, co-creation of public policies, and institutional dialogue between state actors and NGOs. Such practices contributed to democratic resilience and policy effectiveness, particularly within the EU integration context (OECD, 2015).

For Ukraine, this experience is particularly relevant in light of ongoing digital governance reforms. Initiatives such as ProZorro and open data platforms illustrate Ukraine's commitment to transparency and innovation. However, comparative analysis indicates that institutionalizing participation—beyond mobilizational activism—remains a strategic task. Sustainable integration requires stable consultative procedures, legal guarantees for civic engagement, and predictable funding mechanisms (European External Action Service [EEAS], n.d.).

Moreover, Lithuania's example demonstrates that European integration strengthens when civic education and policy literacy are systematically developed. Ukrainian civil society has made substantial progress in promoting awareness of EU values, yet studies show that deeper expertise in EU legislation remains limited (Krasnenko et al., 2025). Therefore, enhancing professional capacity within CSOs is essential for aligning participatory governance with European regulatory frameworks.

Czech Republic: Procedural Participation and EU Funding Effects. The Czech Republic provides insight into the institutionalization of civic participation through formal

consultation mechanisms and structured cooperation between NGOs and the state. EU integration reinforced participatory frameworks, enabling NGOs to contribute to legislative review, monitoring processes, and policy evaluation (European Commission, 2025).

However, comparative scholarship also highlights the complex effects of EU funding on civil society. While European financial support strengthens organizational sustainability, it may also reshape advocacy strategies and professionalize NGO activities, potentially influencing grassroots engagement patterns. Such dynamics underline the importance of balancing institutional support with civic autonomy (European Commission, 2025).

For Ukraine, this dimension is highly relevant. EU assistance and integration-related funding programs have significantly supported civil society development. The EU Roadmap for Engagement with Civil Society in Ukraine outlines structured cooperation mechanisms designed to strengthen participatory democracy (EEAS, n.d.). Nevertheless, ensuring that external funding enhances rather than substitutes domestic civic initiative remains a key strategic consideration.

Civic Space and Democratic Resilience. Across EU member states, the protection of civic space is recognized as fundamental to democratic sustainability. Reports from the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights emphasize that democratic resilience depends on safeguarding the operational independence of civil society actors (FRA, 2022). Restrictions on civic space, administrative burdens, or political pressure may undermine participatory governance even within consolidated democracies.

In Ukraine's case, civil society operates within a complex security environment shaped by wartime conditions. Paradoxically, full-scale aggression has intensified volunteer movements, strengthened solidarity networks, and elevated public trust in civic initiatives. According to recent assessments, civic mobilization has significantly expanded since 2022, positioning civil society as a central actor in humanitarian support and reconstruction efforts (Krasnenko et al., 2025).

Compared with Poland, Lithuania, and the Czech Republic, Ukraine demonstrates a unique fusion of democratization and resilience-building. While Central European states institutionalized participation primarily through administrative reforms and EU accession frameworks, Ukraine's civil society has become a driver of both governance reform and national survival.

Innovation and Digital Transformation. Another comparative dimension concerns innovation ecosystems. Lithuania and the Czech Republic institutionalized digital participation through structured open government reforms (OECD, 2015). Poland's civic

sector also contributed to digital advocacy and participatory tools during its integration phase (Gliński, 2011).

Ukraine's model, however, is characterized by accelerated digital transformation under crisis conditions. Civic initiatives in open procurement, budget transparency, and digital monitoring illustrate how technological innovation can reinforce democratic oversight. This aligns with broader European integration principles emphasizing transparency and participatory governance (European Commission, 2025).

Nevertheless, comparative evidence suggests that scaling such innovations requires predictable financial frameworks, regulatory stability, and capacity-building mechanisms. Strengthening institutional resilience will determine whether Ukraine's innovation-driven civic model can transition from crisis responsiveness to sustainable governance modernization.

Synthesis of Comparative Lessons. The comparative analysis reveals several key insights. First, civil society serves as a democratizing catalyst when supported by institutional guarantees and participatory mechanisms, as demonstrated by Poland and Lithuania (Gliński, 2011; OECD, 2015). Second, EU integration processes are strengthened when civic actors possess not only mobilizational capacity but also regulatory expertise and policy literacy (Krasnenko et al., 2025). Third, safeguarding civic space remains essential for democratic resilience across all contexts (FRA, 2022).

Ukraine's trajectory combines elements observed in Central European experiences with unique wartime dynamics. Its civil society simultaneously performs watchdog, service-provider, innovation-generator, and cultural diplomacy functions. While EU member states institutionalized participation through structured reforms, Ukraine's challenge lies in consolidating mobilizational energy into long-term institutional capacity.

Thus, international comparison confirms that Ukraine's civil society possesses substantial transformative potential, both as an institutional actor and as a value-oriented community capable of shaping democratic development. The experiences of Poland, Lithuania, and the Czech Republic demonstrate that the effectiveness of civil society in supporting European integration depends not only on its numerical presence or mobilizational energy, but also on the depth of its institutionalization, professional capacity, and regulatory alignment with European standards. In Ukraine's case, civil society has already demonstrated exceptional adaptability, mobilization capacity, and public trust, particularly under conditions of war and systemic transformation. However, its sustainable and long-term contribution to European integration requires a transition from primarily mobilizational

dynamics toward structured and procedural participation. Strengthening formal consultation mechanisms, embedding civil society actors in policymaking cycles, and institutionalizing dialogue between public authorities, business, and civic organizations are essential for ensuring predictable and transparent governance. Stable and diversified funding models—combining domestic support mechanisms with European programs—are equally critical to prevent dependency and preserve organizational autonomy. Furthermore, the protection of civic space, including legal safeguards for freedom of association, expression, and advocacy, remains a fundamental precondition for democratic resilience (FRA, 2022). Another strategic priority involves deepening expertise in EU regulatory standards, *acquis communautaire* alignment, and sectoral policy frameworks. As Ukraine advances in accession negotiations, civil society must not only advocate European values but also possess technical competence to monitor legislative harmonization and contribute to evidence-based reform processes (European Commission, 2025). Capacity-building initiatives, professional networks, and EU-integration education programs are therefore indispensable. In this broader perspective, Ukraine not only follows established European integration pathways but also contributes a distinctive model of resilience-driven civic transformation shaped by wartime solidarity, digital innovation, and cultural mobilization. This model enriches the European discourse by demonstrating how civil society can simultaneously act as a guardian of democracy, a generator of innovation, and a pillar of national resilience (European Commission, 2025; FRA, 2022; Krasnenko et al., 2025).

4. The Cultural and Value Dimension of European Integration and the Role of Civil Society.

European integration is not solely a political or economic course, but above all, a profound cultural and value-based choice that reflects a society's normative orientation and civilizational self-determination. It presupposes not only institutional approximation to European standards, but also the internalization of principles such as freedom, human dignity, solidarity, equality, pluralism, tolerance, and intercultural dialogue. These values form the ethical foundation of the European project and require deep societal commitment rather than formal compliance. European integration therefore represents a transformative process that reshapes public consciousness, social practices, and collective identity. For Ukraine, this process does not signify the erosion or loss of national distinctiveness. On the contrary, it entails a critical rethinking and reaffirmation of its own identity as an organic and historical component of European civilization. Ukraine's cultural heritage, intellectual traditions, and democratic aspirations align with broader European values, and integration reinforces rather

than diminishes this connection. In this sense, European integration becomes both a return to shared civilizational roots and a forward-looking strategy of modernization and democratic consolidation. Civil society plays a decisive role in this transformation. Acting as an intermediary between the state and citizens, it facilitates dialogue, promotes accountability, and strengthens participatory governance. Through cultural initiatives, educational programs, volunteer movements, creative industries, and public advocacy, civil society disseminates European values in everyday social practice (Putnam, 2018). By fostering trust, social capital, and horizontal networks of cooperation, civic actors contribute to the formation of an open political culture in which democratic norms are not imposed from above but cultivated from within society itself.

European values are intrinsically linked to culture, since it is culture that shapes worldview, patterns of thinking, social norms, and behavioral models. Through cultural experience, individuals internalize ideas of dignity, freedom, equality, tolerance, and solidarity—not as abstract political concepts, but as lived social practices. Culture influences how societies interpret democratic principles, how they respond to diversity, and how they construct collective identity within a broader European context. Cultural practices such as volunteer-based arts festivals, community spaces, cultural hubs, educational-cultural centers, and creative workshops have evolved into significant instruments of civic engagement. They serve not only as platforms for artistic self-expression, but also as arenas for dialogue, cooperation, and community-building. Participation in such initiatives fosters social trust, strengthens local networks, and encourages active citizenship. In this sense, culture becomes a practical mechanism for translating European values into everyday interaction and shared experience. Moreover, these initiatives create sustainable platforms for dialogue between different regions of Ukraine and European partners. By facilitating intercultural exchange, joint artistic projects, and educational collaboration, they promote unity and mutual understanding across borders (Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, 2022). Cultural interaction thus reinforces social cohesion domestically while simultaneously embedding Ukraine more deeply within the European cultural space.

Freedom, guaranteed by the Constitution of Ukraine (Articles 34 and 36), is exercised through civil society institutions—public organizations, associations, mass media, and universities (Constitution of Ukraine, 1996). They constitute the environment in which innovative thinking, civic responsibility, and the aspiration for change are cultivated.

A crucial role in this transformative process belongs to the development of an innovative culture, understood not merely as technological advancement, but as a

comprehensive societal orientation toward openness, creativity, experimentation, and collaborative problem-solving. An innovative culture presupposes receptiveness to new ideas, tolerance for diversity of thought, encouragement of entrepreneurial initiative, and institutional support for research and creative industries. It fosters an environment in which knowledge, critical thinking, and intellectual exchange become key drivers of social and economic development. Central to this approach is the partnership between education, science, business, and public authorities—conceptualized as the model of the “four-dimensional innovation square” (Nochvai & Fedosieiev, 2018). This model emphasizes systemic interaction among these four sectors, ensuring that academic knowledge is translated into practical solutions, scientific discoveries inform policy-making, businesses contribute to economic sustainability, and public institutions create enabling regulatory and financial frameworks. Such synergy enhances national competitiveness and strengthens the capacity for sustainable modernization. In Ukraine, elements of this model are increasingly visible through educational reforms, interdisciplinary research programs, start-up ecosystems, digital transformation initiatives, and socially oriented innovation projects. Universities cooperate with local communities and enterprises, scientific institutions engage in applied research aligned with public needs, and civic organizations contribute to social innovation and community resilience. These initiatives integrate technological progress with humanistic values, ensuring that modernization is not limited to infrastructure or digitalization, but also encompasses ethical responsibility, social inclusion, and democratic development. In this way, innovative culture becomes a strategic foundation for Ukraine’s European integration and long-term societal transformation.

An illustrative example of innovative civic initiatives includes digital platforms such as ProZorro and Open Budget, which have significantly strengthened transparency, accountability, and public oversight in Ukraine. ProZorro, as an electronic public procurement system built on the principle “everyone sees everything,” has introduced open competition, reduced corruption risks, and enabled businesses and civil society actors to monitor procurement procedures in real time. Open Budget, in turn, provides citizens with accessible information regarding state and local budget revenues and expenditures, thereby enhancing financial transparency and fostering informed civic participation in fiscal governance (Nochvai & Fedosieiev, 2018).

These platforms represent not merely technological tools, but institutional innovations that transform the relationship between the state and society. By granting public access to structured data and digital monitoring instruments, they empower citizens,

journalists, and civic organizations to exercise democratic oversight and contribute to evidence-based public debate. In this way, digitalization becomes an enabler of civic engagement rather than a purely administrative reform. Such solutions clearly demonstrate that digital transformation, innovation, and democratic values are not contradictory processes but mutually reinforcing components of a unified public space. When technological modernization is guided by principles of openness, accountability, and participation, it strengthens trust in public institutions and consolidates democratic governance.

5. Cultural Diplomacy and Creative Industries as Instruments of Ukraine's European Integration.

Culture is one of the most powerful and enduring instruments of European integration, as it is through cultural expression that a state shapes its positive international image, strengthens its national identity, and develops meaningful international dialogue. Unlike purely political or economic mechanisms, culture appeals to shared human experiences, emotions, and values, creating long-term connections that transcend formal agreements. It enables societies to present themselves not only through policy frameworks, but through art, heritage, language, creativity, and intellectual contribution. Culture functions as a universal language of communication among nations, capable of overcoming political tensions, economic disparities, and linguistic differences. Through literature, music, cinema, visual arts, academic exchange, and creative industries, countries engage in dialogue that fosters mutual understanding and respect. Cultural diplomacy thus becomes an effective soft-power instrument, allowing states to build trust, promote shared European values, and integrate into broader civilizational spaces without compromising their uniqueness. For Ukraine, cultural initiatives serve as an essential channel for communicating its historical legacy, democratic aspirations, contemporary achievements, and societal resilience to European partners. Through festivals, exhibitions, educational programs, creative collaborations, and digital platforms, civil society organizations present Ukraine as an open, creative, and innovative state. In doing so, they reinforce national self-awareness while simultaneously contributing to intercultural dialogue and European solidarity. Culture therefore operates not only as a sphere of artistic expression, but as a strategic resource for integration, reputation-building, and value-based partnership within the European community.

Cultural diplomacy, as a manifestation of the “soft power” potential of civil society, creates a sustainable space for mutual understanding, dialogue, and the formation of shared

values between countries. Unlike traditional diplomatic instruments that rely on political negotiation or economic leverage, cultural diplomacy operates through trust, empathy, and symbolic communication. It allows societies to engage with one another at the level of ideas, creativity, and historical memory, thereby fostering long-term partnerships grounded in respect and recognition. By strengthening the humanitarian dimension of European integration, cultural diplomacy complements its political and economic components. While institutional reforms and market integration are essential, they remain incomplete without a parallel process of cultural interaction that nurtures solidarity and intercultural awareness. Cultural exchange humanizes international cooperation, reduces stereotypes, and contributes to the consolidation of a common European identity based on diversity and inclusiveness. In particular, cultural projects, art exhibitions, photo galleries, international festivals, theatre tours, film screenings, literary forums, and educational exchange programs serve as practical instruments of this process. They not only promote the dissemination of Ukrainian cultural heritage and contemporary artistic achievements, but also facilitate their organic integration into the broader European cultural space (Kuleba et al., 2023; Shvets, 2023). Through such initiatives, Ukraine presents itself as a dynamic and creative partner, capable of contributing to European cultural diversity while preserving its own distinct identity.

Prominent examples of successful cultural diplomacy include the activities of the Ukrainian Institute and other cultural centers abroad, which actively promote contemporary Ukrainian art, literature, cinema, music, and intellectual thought. Through curated exhibitions, literary translations, film screenings, public discussions, and interdisciplinary projects, these institutions construct a modern and dynamic image of Ukraine as a European cultural actor. Their work extends beyond representation; it creates sustainable networks of cooperation between Ukrainian and European cultural communities. Major cultural events further reinforce this process. Festivals and forums such as Book Arsenal, Docudays UA, and Molodist Film Festival serve as platforms for international dialogue and artistic exchange. Ukraine's participation in global cultural exhibitions—including the Frankfurt Book Fair and the Venice Biennale—shapes a positive cultural narrative, enhances visibility, and strengthens Ukraine's position as a full-fledged participant in the European and global cultural process. Moreover, the participation of Ukrainian artists, scholars, researchers, and students in international exchange programs fosters not only the dissemination of cultural achievements but also the development of intercultural communication, joint research initiatives, co-productions, and collaborative artistic projects. Such engagement deepens

mutual understanding and integrates Ukraine more firmly into the shared European cultural and intellectual space.

A distinct and increasingly influential role in contemporary European integration belongs to the creative industries, which operate at the intersection of culture, technology, and entrepreneurship. These industries encompass fields such as design, audiovisual production, digital media, architecture, fashion, publishing, performing arts, and gaming, forming a dynamic sector where intellectual capital and creativity become key economic resources. By integrating artistic expression with innovation and market-oriented strategies, creative industries contribute to shaping a new economic and value-based landscape in which cultural identity and technological progress develop simultaneously.

Within this framework, innovation is closely intertwined with cultural self-realization. Creative industries not only generate economic value, but also provide platforms for social dialogue, identity formation, and intercultural exchange. They stimulate experimentation, encourage interdisciplinary collaboration, and promote inclusive growth by enabling individuals and communities to transform creative potential into sustainable economic activity. In EU member states, the creative sector accounts for more than 4.4% of GDP and is recognized as a strategic factor of sustainable development, competitiveness, and employment generation (Creative Economy Outlook, 2022). This recognition reflects the understanding that long-term prosperity depends not solely on industrial output, but also on intellectual and cultural capital. For Ukraine, the development of the creative economy is particularly relevant in the context of European integration and post-crisis reconstruction. The creative sector fosters not only economic growth and diversification, but also cultural modernization, digital transformation, and the revitalization of local communities. It strengthens regional development through cultural clusters, supports start-up ecosystems, and encourages new forms of cultural entrepreneurship adapted to global markets (Council of Europe, 2023). By investing in creative industries, Ukraine enhances its capacity for innovation while simultaneously reinforcing its cultural distinctiveness and European identity.

Owing to international support programs, such as Creative Europe, House of Europe, EUNIC (European Union National Institutes for Culture), and national grant initiatives, Ukrainian cultural actors are able to implement joint international projects, participate in artistic residencies, training programs, and interdisciplinary collaborations. Such programs integrate Ukrainian participants into the European cultural market and

stimulate the emergence of sociocultural innovations - new formats of communication, co-creation, multimedia art, social theatre, cultural hubs, and digital archives.

The creative sector has also become a crucial instrument of post-war recovery, particularly in contexts where social cohesion, psychological stability, and collective identity require restoration. Beyond its economic contribution, culture possesses a unique capacity to foster trust, reinforce solidarity, and sustain psychological resilience in times of crisis. Artistic expression, cultural events, community-based creative initiatives, and public commemorative practices provide spaces for reflection, dialogue, and emotional processing, thereby helping individuals and communities cope with trauma and uncertainty. In post-war societies, culture functions not only as a symbolic resource, but also as a practical mechanism for rebuilding social ties and restoring confidence in shared futures. Creative projects often unite diverse social groups around common narratives, values, and aspirations, facilitating reconciliation and reintegration. Through cultural platforms—such as community theatres, local festivals, digital storytelling projects, and artistic workshops—citizens regain a sense of agency and participation in collective recovery processes. In this sense, culture becomes a catalyst of social innovation. It stimulates new forms of cooperation, encourages inclusive participation, and generates creative solutions to complex social challenges. By mobilizing communities around shared ideas and common goals, the creative sector strengthens civic unity, supports the reintegration of affected populations, and enhances the humanitarian dimension of reconstruction. At the same time, it contributes to the development of cultural diplomacy, presenting resilience, creativity, and solidarity as defining features of national renewal within the broader European context.

The educational mission of civil society constitutes one of its fundamental priorities, as it directly contributes to shaping a European-oriented worldview, fostering critical thinking, and cultivating a responsible attitude of citizens toward social, political, and cultural processes. Education in this context extends beyond formal schooling; it encompasses lifelong learning, civic awareness, and value-based socialization. By promoting informed participation and analytical reflection, civil society helps individuals understand complex reforms, evaluate public policies, and engage constructively in democratic dialogue. Through instruments of non-formal education—such as public discussions, cultural forums, workshops, educational courses, youth programs, volunteer training, and artistic initiatives—citizens are introduced to the principles underlying European integration. These platforms encourage debate, exchange of ideas, and experiential learning, thereby strengthening awareness of democratic norms, social solidarity, inclusiveness, and the protection of human

rights (National survey, 2023). Importantly, such initiatives often reach diverse social groups, including youth, local communities, and vulnerable populations, ensuring broader societal engagement. By integrating knowledge with practice, these activities nurture civic competence and active participation. They allow citizens not merely to observe institutional changes, but to internalize European values as part of their personal identity and everyday behavior. In this way, European integration is perceived not solely as a political or geopolitical process, but as a dimension of cultural growth, ethical commitment, and individual self-development.

An important component of this transformative process is civic education, which is aimed at developing the competencies necessary for meaningful and active participation in social and public life. Civic education equips individuals with practical skills and ethical orientations that enable them to engage constructively in democratic processes. These competencies include cooperation, the ability to conduct respectful and evidence-based dialogue, informed and responsible decision-making, critical analysis of information, and readiness to assume responsibility for collective outcomes. Equally significant is the cultivation of respect for diversity, recognition of pluralism, and adherence to the rights and freedoms of others. Civic education fosters awareness that democratic societies are built upon inclusion, mutual respect, and the peaceful coexistence of different cultural, social, and ideological perspectives. It teaches citizens not only to defend their own rights, but also to acknowledge the legitimacy of differing opinions and identities within a shared public space. These qualities collectively form the normative and ethical foundation of the modern European community, which is grounded in humanism, tolerance, solidarity, and inclusiveness. By strengthening civic competencies, societies enhance democratic resilience, reduce polarization, and promote social cohesion. In this way, civic education becomes a strategic instrument for consolidating European values within everyday social practice and ensuring the sustainability of democratic development.

The cultural dimension of civic education plays a key role in strengthening mutual understanding between Ukraine and EU member states. Cultural platforms—museums, libraries, art spaces, creative hubs, and online galleries—have become venues for non-formal education and public dialogue about shared values. Through such platforms, cultural heritage is popularized, and knowledge about the history, traditions, and achievements of European nations is disseminated, which contributes to overcoming cultural barriers and fostering mutual respect.

Youth participation in educational and cultural initiatives is of particular importance, as such programs constitute a principal environment for developing European competences, intercultural communication, and leadership skills essential for rebuilding and modernizing the country. Student exchange programs, volunteer projects, creative schools, and grant platforms such as Erasmus+, House of Europe, and Creative Europe create opportunities for intercultural communication and professional development. Young activists and artists become ambassadors of European ideas in their communities, sharing experiences of cultural interaction, social inclusion, and innovative approaches to social change.

Modern European integration involves the development not only of economic cooperation and regulatory harmonization, but also of deep cultural partnership, within which citizens act as active co-creators of societal transformation. Integration in this sense is not limited to institutional convergence; it requires shared participation in cultural dialogue, joint creative initiatives, and the exchange of knowledge and experience across borders. Cultural partnership strengthens mutual understanding and fosters a sense of belonging to a broader European community built on diversity and cooperation.

The development of cultural literacy plays a central role in this process. It equips citizens with the ability to interpret cultural symbols, understand historical contexts, appreciate artistic expression, and engage in intercultural dialogue. Participation in volunteer artistic projects, community-based cultural initiatives, digital creativity platforms, and cultural entrepreneurship contributes to the formation of a new civic culture oriented toward innovation, self-expression, and the common good (Council of Europe, 2023). These activities encourage individuals to view culture not as passive consumption, but as active contribution and shared responsibility. Such cultural partnership fosters a generation of citizens capable not only of adapting to European standards and institutional frameworks, but also of enriching the European cultural space with their own experiences, creative ideas, historical memory, and value orientations. In this way, integration becomes a reciprocal process: Ukraine not only internalizes European principles, but also contributes to the diversity, dynamism, and resilience of the common European cultural landscape.

In this regard, culture and civic enlightenment function as mutually reinforcing and interdependent factors within the broader framework of European integration. Education provides individuals with structured knowledge, analytical skills, and practical competencies necessary for informed participation in public life. It cultivates critical thinking, legal awareness, and the ability to evaluate social processes in a balanced and responsible manner. Through formal and non-formal educational instruments, citizens acquire the intellectual

tools required to understand democratic institutions, public policy mechanisms, and the principles underlying European cooperation. Culture, in turn, complements this cognitive dimension by offering value-based orientations, emotional engagement, and a sense of belonging to a shared community. It shapes identity, nurtures empathy, and creates symbolic spaces in which collective memory and common aspirations are articulated. Through artistic expression, cultural dialogue, and creative collaboration, individuals experience democratic values not merely as abstract concepts, but as lived realities embedded in everyday practice. Culture thus strengthens social cohesion and reinforces the ethical foundations of civic engagement. Together, education and culture create a holistic environment for the formation of an active, innovative, and culturally mature civil society. Their synergy fosters citizens who are not only knowledgeable and skilled, but also ethically oriented and socially responsible. Such a society is capable of acting as a driving force of European progress, contributing to democratic resilience, creative development, and sustainable transformation (Shvets, 2023).

Civil society constitutes a fundamental and irreversible factor in Ukraine's successful European integration. Its significance extends beyond episodic participation in reforms; it represents a structural element of democratic transformation and societal modernization. Civil society not only supports the implementation of democratic reforms by advocating transparency, accountability, and the rule of law, but also performs the vital function of a critical watchdog and mediator between public authorities and citizens. Through monitoring, public consultations, policy analysis, and civic oversight, it ensures that reform processes remain consistent with democratic principles and aligned with European standards. At the same time, civil society contributes to shaping an innovative and cultural dynamic that consolidates national efforts and strengthens Ukraine's integration into the European community at a value-based level. It fosters social cohesion, encourages inclusive participation, and promotes the internalization of European norms within everyday social practice. Cultural initiatives, educational programs, creative industries, volunteer movements, and community-based projects generate spaces for dialogue, cooperation, and shared responsibility. As a result, a new quality of social life is gradually emerging—one grounded in mutual trust, respect for freedom and human dignity, creative self-expression, and civic responsibility. This transformation reflects not only institutional change, but also a deeper evolution of societal consciousness, positioning Ukraine as an active and value-driven participant in the European democratic space.

Ukraine is gradually becoming a compelling example of how culture and civic engagement can function as powerful engines of innovative development and integration

into the European cultural space. The synergy between creative initiatives, educational transformation, digital innovation, and active citizenship demonstrates that societal modernization is not driven solely by economic reform, but also by cultural vitality and civic responsibility. Through grassroots movements, cultural diplomacy, creative industries, and community-based projects, Ukraine showcases a model in which cultural identity and innovation coexist and reinforce one another. This dynamic reflects the distinctive strength of modern Ukrainian civil society. It is characterized by the ability to unite national traditions with European progress, preserving historical memory while embracing contemporary democratic standards. Spiritual heritage and cultural authenticity are not viewed as oppositional to modernization; rather, they serve as ethical foundations that guide technological advancement and institutional reform. In this way, spirituality is harmonized with technological capability, and cultural expression is integrated with democratic participation. Such a synthesis creates a resilient and forward-looking society capable of contributing meaningfully to the broader European cultural and political landscape. By combining creativity with civic consciousness, and tradition with innovation, Ukrainian civil society positions itself not merely as a recipient of European standards, but as an active co-creator of the shared European future.

6. Conclusions.

The development of civil society in Ukraine has become a decisive factor in the country's advancement along the path of European integration. Contemporary transformational processes clearly demonstrate that democratic reforms, innovative development, and cultural modernization are not isolated trajectories but interconnected and mutually reinforcing dimensions of a single systemic evolution. In this context, civil society functions not merely as an intermediary between the state and its citizens, but as an active agent of structural change. It articulates public demand for transparency, accountability, innovation, openness, and a human-centered system of values grounded in dignity and rights.

Its contribution to innovative development is particularly visible in the creation of digital public services, open data platforms, civic monitoring tools, and socially oriented start-ups that redefine patterns of interaction among the state, business, and society. Through civic initiatives, hackathons, community labs, and digital advocacy projects, innovation becomes embedded in everyday governance practices. It ceases to be solely a technological upgrade and instead evolves into a social process characterized by trust-building, horizontal cooperation, partnership, and creative problem-solving.

Equally significant is the cultural dimension of civil society. Through cultural diplomacy, civic enlightenment, artistic collaboration, and volunteer engagement, national identity is reinterpreted within a broader European framework. Mechanisms are formed for promoting tolerance, dignity, freedom, pluralism, and social solidarity as lived social norms. Cultural initiatives, intercultural exchanges, public forums, and creative industries contribute to the emergence of a new cultural format for Ukraine—one that reinforces its credibility and authority within the European space.

Ukraine's European integration is therefore primarily a process of internal renewal. It requires the formation of a new culture of civic participation based on partnership, trust, shared responsibility, and innovative thinking. Civil society, guided by these principles, is capable not only of adapting European standards but also of enriching Europe through its resilience, creativity, and spiritual strength.

Thus, civil society in Ukraine acts as a driving force of innovative and cultural development, shaping democratic quality, reform effectiveness, and integration dynamics. Its consolidation represents a strategic investment in sustainable development, international agency, and human capital. Ultimately, the success of Ukraine's European integration directly depends on the strength, innovation capacity, and cohesion of its civil society.

Referencias bibliográficas.

- Baranovskyi, O. (2018). *Vplyv bromadianskoho suspilstva na yevropeisku ta yevroatlantychnu intebratsiiu: Ukraïnskyi kontekst* [Impact of civil society on European and Euro-Atlantic integration: Ukrainian context]. https://ipiend.gov.ua/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/baranovskyi_vplyv.pdf
- Bilan, Y. & Bilan, S. (2011). Civil society and democratic transformations in post-socialist countries. *Transformations in Business & Economics*, 10(3), 89–104.
- Bilan, Y. & Bilan, S. (2011). The formation of civil society in Ukraine after the Orange Revolution. *Economics & Sociology*, 4(1), 79–88.
- Centre for Economic Strategy. (2023). *National survey on civic engagement: Spring–Summer 2023*.
- CIVICUS. (2006). *Civil society in Ukraine: “Driving engine or spare wheel for change?”* Centre for Philanthropy / Counterpart Creative Center.
- Council of Europe. (2023). *Cultural routes and intercultural dialogue in Europe*. Council of Europe Publishing.

- European Commission. (2022). *Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions on the Work Plan for Culture 2019–2022*. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu>
- European Commission. (2025). *Ukraine 2025 report*. Directorate-General for Neighbourhood and Enlargement Negotiations. <https://enlargement.ec.europa.eu>
- European External Action Service. (n.d.). *EU roadmap for engagement with civil society in Ukraine*. <https://www.ecas.europa.eu>
- European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights. (2022). *Europe's civil society: Still under pressure*. Publications Office of the European Union.
- Gliński, P. (2011). Twenty years of civil society in Poland? *Polish Sociological Review*, 173(1), 5–26.
- Huk, K. & Zeynalov, A. (2022). Regional disparities and economic growth in Ukraine. *arXiv*, 29, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2211.05666>
- Krasnenko, M., ISAR Ednannia. & European Center for Not-for-Profit Law. (2025). *CSO Meter Ukraine 2024: Country report*. European Center for Not-for-Profit Law Stichting (ECNL) & ISAR Ednannia.
- Krasnenko, O., Mykhailovska, O. & Klymenko, L. (2025). Civil society and European integration: Institutional capacity and regulatory alignment in Ukraine. *Journal of European Integration Studies*, 17(2), 45–63.
- Kuleba, D., Sheiko, V. & Sinkevych, Y. (2023). *Annual report 2023*. Ukrainian Cultural Foundation.
- Nochvai, V. I. & Fedosieiev, O. I. (2018). *Role of civil society organisations in innovation policy, knowledge transfer and European integration*. Association of Economic Initiatives.
- OECD. (2015). *Lithuania: Fostering open and inclusive policy making*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264235762-en>
- Putnam, R. D. (2018). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community* (Rev. ed.). Simon & Schuster.
- Shvets, V. (2023). European integration processes as a prerequisite for the formation of civil society in Ukraine. In *Yunist nauky – 2023* (pp. 240–242). Chernihiv Polytechnic.
- Ukrainian Center for Independent Political Research. (2025). *Report 2022–2024*. UCIPR.
- UNESCO. (2022). *Creative economy outlook 2022: Trends in international trade in creative industries*. UNESCO Publishing.
- Vasyliiev, H. Yu., Vodnik, V. D., Volianska, O. V., Herasina, L. M., Zymohliad, V. Ya., Zolotarova, Yu. I., Klimova, H. P., Osypova, N. P., Pidkurkova, I. V., Pohribna, V.

- L., Polishchuk, I. O., Sakhan, O. M., & Trebin, M. P. (2013). *Hromadianske suspilstvo: Politychni ta sotsialno-pravovi problemy rozvytku* (M. P. Trebin, Ed.). Pravo.
- Verkhovna Rada of Ukraine. (1996). *Constitution of Ukraine* (No. 254к/96-ВР).
<https://ips.ligazakon.net/document/Z960254K>